

JOSEPH C. GRATZ (CA SBN 240676)  
JGratz@mofo.com  
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP  
425 Market Street,  
San Francisco, California 94105-2482  
Telephone: (415) 268-7000  
Facsimile: (415) 268-7522

*Attorney for Non-Party*  
OPENAI OPCO, L.L.C.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
**SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

RICHARD KADREY, *et al.*,  
  
Individual and Representative Plaintiffs,  
  
v.  
  
META PLATFORMS, INC., a Delaware  
corporation,  
  
Defendant.

Case No. 3:23-cv-03417-VC-TSH

**DECLARATION OF ALEXANDER  
ZBROZEK IN SUPPORT OF  
DEFENDANT META PLATFORMS,  
INC.'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION  
TO CONSIDER WHETHER ANOTHER  
PARTY'S MATERIAL SHOULD BE  
SEALED RE: META'S REPLY IN  
SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR  
PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
[ECF NO. 544]**

1 I, Alexander Zbrozek, hereby declare as follows:

2 1. I am Senior Counsel at OpenAI. I submit this declaration in support of Defendant  
3 Meta Platforms, Inc.'s Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Another Party's Material  
4 Should Be Sealed Re: Meta's Reply in Support of Its Motion for Partial Summary Judgment, ECF  
5 No. 544, pursuant to N.D. Cal. L.R. 79-5(f)(3). I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth  
6 below and, if called as a witness, could testify competently thereto.

7 2. I have been informed that because Defendant's Motion for Partial Summary  
8 Judgment relates to a potentially dispositive matter, courts generally apply a "compelling  
9 reasons" standard when considering motions to seal documents. *Kamakana v. City & Cty. of*  
10 *Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178 (9th Cir. 2006)). However, I have also been informed that courts  
11 have found that "confidential business information" in the form of "license agreements, financial  
12 terms, details of confidential licensing negotiations, and business strategies" satisfies the  
13 "compelling reasons" standard. *Jam Cellars, Inc. v. Wine Grp. LLC*, No. 19-CV-01878-HSG,  
14 2020 WL 5576346, at \*1 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 17, 2020) (citing *In re Qualcomm Litig.*, No. 3:17-cv-  
15 0108-GPC-MDD, 2017 WL 5176922, at \*2 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 8, 2017); *Finisar Corp. v. Nistica,*  
16 *Inc.*, No. 13-cv-03345-BLF (JSC), 2015 WL 3988132, at \*5 (N.D. Cal. June 30, 2015)).

17 3. I have been informed and understand that the OpenAI confidential material  
18 marked for redaction in Paragraph 9 of the Declaration of Michael Sinkinson filed in support of  
19 Meta Platforms, Inc.'s Reply in Support of Its Motion for Partial Summary Judgment, ECF No.  
20 543-15, has been designated by OpenAI as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES  
21 ONLY" pursuant to the protective order. I submit this declaration in support of sealing that  
22 information.

23 4. Based on my review, the green-highlighted portions of Paragraph 9 of the  
24 Declaration of Michael Sinkinson, attached as an exhibit hereto, should remain redacted because  
25 they contain highly confidential business information stemming from the terms of a July 2023  
26 agreement between OpenAI and the Associated Press. OpenAI treats the terms from agreements  
27 with business partners as highly confidential given the highly competitive nature of the artificial  
28 intelligence industry. OpenAI generally does not publicly disclose strategic decision-making

1 deliberations concerning business relationships to prevent competitive harm to OpenAI.  
2 Moreover, OpenAI and certain partners have express agreements with confidentiality provisions  
3 that prevent public disclosure of confidential facts about the companies' business relationships.  
4 Public disclosure of this information would cause competitive harm to OpenAI, given the highly  
5 competitive nature of the artificial intelligence industry with global stakeholders, and could cause  
6 violation of OpenAI's confidentiality agreements.

7 5. OpenAI's request is narrowly tailored, as OpenAI does not seek to seal any other  
8 information identified in Defendant's sealing motion.

9  
10 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the  
11 foregoing is true and correct.

12 Executed on this 25th day of April, 2025, in New York, New York.

13  
14 /s/ Alexander Zbrozek

15 Alexander Zbrozek  
16 Senior Counsel  
17 OpenAI  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**ECF ATTESTATION**

I, Joseph C. Gratz, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this document. In accordance with Civil L.R. 5-1(i)(3), concurrence in and authorization of the filing of this document has been obtained from Alexander Zbrozek, declarant.

Dated: April 25, 2025

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

By: /s/ Joseph C. Gratz  
Joseph C. Gratz

*Attorney for Non-Party*  
OPENAI OPCO, L.L.C.